IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

AUTOSCRIBE CORPORATION

V. S CASE NO. 2:23-cv-00349-JRG (Lead Case)

REPAY HOLDINGS CORPORATION, S (Lead Case)

AUTOSCRIBE CORPORATION

V. S CASE NO. 2:23-cv-00364-JRG (Member Case)

FORTIS PAYMENT SYSTEMS, LLC S S

<u>ORDER</u>

Before the Court are two motions. The first is the Joint (Agreed) Motion for Entry of Docket Control Order and Motion to Consolidate for Pretrial Purposes (the "First Motion to Consolidate") filed jointly in Case No. 2:23-cv-0349 by Plaintiff Autoscribe Corporation ("Autoscribe") and Defendants Repay Holdings Corporation and Repay Holdings, LLC (collectively, "Repay Holdings"). (2:23-cv-0349, Dkt. No. 28.) The second is the Joint (Agreed) Motion for Entry of Docket Control Order and Motion to Consolidate for Pretrial Purposes (the "Second Motion to Consolidate") filed jointly in Case No. 2:23-cv-0364 by Plaintiff Autoscribe and Fortis Payment Systems, LLC ("Fortis"). (2:23-cv-0364, Dkt. No. 19.)

The First Motion to Consolidate and the Second Motion to Consolidate (collectively, the "Motions") both request that the Court consolidate the above-captioned cases and set the -0349 case as the lead case. (2:23-cv-0349, Dkt. No. 28 at 1–2, Dkt. No. 28-2 at 1; 2:23-cv-0364, Dkt. No. 19 at 1; Dkt. No. 19-2 at 1.) The Motions also request entry of a Docket Control Order. (2:23-

cv-0349, Dkt. No. 28 at 1-2; 2:23-cv-0364, Dkt. No. 19 at 1-2.) As noted before, the Motions are

jointly filed. (2:23-cv-0349, Dkt. No. 28 at 1, 4; 2:23-cv-0364, Dkt. No. 19 at 1, 4.)

Having considered the Motions and noting their joint natures, the Court finds that they

should be and hereby are GRANTED-IN-PART. Accordingly, the above-captioned cases are

hereby **ORDERED** to be **CONSOLIDATED** for all pretrial issues with the LEAD CASE, Case

No. 2:23-cv-00349. All parties are instructed to file any future filings in the LEAD CASE.

Individual cases remain active for trial.

The Clerk is instructed to add the consolidated Defendants into the Lead Case and their

corresponding Lead and Local Counsel only. Additional counsel may file a Notice of Appearance

in the Lead Case if they wish to continue as counsel of record in the lead consolidated action.

Counsel who has appeared pro hac vice in any member case may file a Notice of Appearance in

the Lead Case without filing an additional application to appear pro hac vice in the Lead Case.

Counsel who have not appeared in a member case at the point when it is consolidated into the Lead

Case should file a Notice of Appearance only in the Lead Case, and such Notice should state the

relevant member case.

The balance of the Motion, which concerns entry of a docket control order, is **CARRIED**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 19th day of December, 2023.

RODNEY GILSTRAP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE